

Schary, Claire

From: Marti.Bridges@deq.idaho.gov
Sent: Wednesday, July 16, 2014 12:10 PM
To: Schary, Claire; cochran@willamettepartnership.org; nmullane@msn.com
Cc: Psyk, Christine
Subject: RE: Idaho trading pilot
Attachments: removed.txt

Thanks Claire: Hopefully I'll hear from Bobby in the coming days about his general availability. As it currently stands, a mock permit is kind of lower priority, but is certainly in the mix. There is plenty of other stuff we've committed to the City of Boise we'd do like revisiting pre approved BMPS, think more strategically about the baseline, verification procedures, etc. Some of the details absent the current Guidance, and also the Lower Boise Framework. All in good time, as they say. Yes, I'm happy to have Dustan and Bill engaged in this, too. But I'm also looking for worker bees. With Bill now in charge of 319 stuff in Idaho, too, he's got lots on his plate.

Anyways, I'll wait to hear from Bobby and throw out a bone for us to meet. I think face to face is important and I know your travel budget is limited. Hence suggesting Portland or Seattle. Centralia doesn't excite me too much.

Talk soon. I'm sure you are trying to get caught up.

Marti

From: Schary, Claire [mailto:Schary.Claire@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, July 16, 2014 12:51 PM
To: Marti Bridges; cochran@willamettepartnership.org; nmullane@msn.com
Cc: Psyk, Christine
Subject: RE: Idaho trading pilot

This meeting sounds like a good idea as long as it's just a project planning meeting because I would really want to defer to Susan Poulsom for some of the detailed work, as well as Dustan and Chae. I also couldn't tell if it is intended to be a mock permit for the Lower Boise stakeholders - but Neil told me didn't think so, saying it's just a permit template that could be used in any watershed with appropriate details inserted). In any case, I would definitely want Bill Stewart involved as well when we talk about baselines and BMPs, etc.

I can do a face-to-face meeting either in Portland, as long it's just for one night, or somewhere closer to Seattle (Centralia?) if you think we can do it in a day. I have friends in Portland I can even hit up for a place to stay that have been asking me to come visit, so no need to share rooms!

My schedule is fairly wide open the next few weeks except July 31 -Aug. 1, and Aug. 7-8 (out of town guests I need to be home in the evenings for).

-- Claire

Claire Schary
schary.claire@epa.gov / (206) 553-8514

From: Marti.Bridges@deq.idaho.gov [mailto:Marti.Bridges@deq.idaho.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2014 8:47 AM
To: cochran@willamettepartnership.org; Schary, Claire; nmullane@msn.com
Subject: Idaho trading pilot

Claire; Bobby and I met while you were globe-trotting through Germany, Eastern Europe and Ireland to discuss Idaho's pilot. Despite my efforts to get Susan Poulson to help work on a mock permit, nothing has come of that. I feel certain this is because she is over-tapped with the enormous backlog of NPDES permits in Idaho and oversight of the D.C. Headquarters folks who are also helping with those.

That said, Robbin Finch in his typical manner, has pushed and pushed on DEQ, TFT and WP about updating the trading guidance and framework for the Lower Boise. Both Barry Burnell, Michael McIntyre and I have made it clear we are not going to tackle a total revamp until the Lower Boise TMDL WLAs are done and have buy in by the WAG and EPA. That won't likely happen before December at the earliest.

However, there are a number of things that Bobby and I have identified that could be done that relate to adopting attributes of the JRA recommendations as a "pilot". In other words, we'd get the ball rolling on refreshing things like pre-approved BMPs, actionable items like trade verification language, registry language, establishing a baseline, etc. I'm sure there are more items I'm forgetting at the moment.

Alex Johnson from TFT is working on a contract with some of the cities, purportedly, to identify an economic analysis of likely trading locales and viability and kinds of projects. I think his focus is on TP and might also include temperature, but not sure on that. I know that either Nampa or Meridian approved money to contract with him. The work he does could ultimately become an appendix of the trading framework.

That said, I have travel money and believe the four of us should consider a face to face and work session for a day or two if need be to look at what we have in place, what we can update in the next 4 to 5 months prior to the TMDL and what we can work on before engaging a select group of stakeholders to weigh in. I don't want to manage a group of 42 like Ross and Associates did. But I do want to make sure a select group are chosen for an initial review and then assemble a larger group to present our work to.

What are some time frames you would have happen between now and mid-August? We could meet in Portland or Seattle. Whatever works best for Claire, as I would fly to either one. I know EPA doesn't have a lot of money. If it was Portland and Claire doesn't mind my snoring, she could room with me, too. Just a thought.

Give me some feedback on this idea and if you're all in—and Claire, you are key to this, I can always do a doodle poll. But if you all know you have some openings for a couple days or more here and there, let me know.

And Bobby, if you can weigh in on our discussion and elaborate on things I've missed, I thank you. The fog of post Salmon River trip letdown is still in my brain.

Marti Bridges
TMDL Program Manager
Idaho Dept. of Environmental Quality
1410 N. Hilton
Boise, ID 83706

208-373-0382

